



On a representable class of separated lattice EQ-algebras

Moataz El-Zekey^a, Mahmoud Khalaf^b

^aDepartment of Engineering Mathematics, Physics and Science, Faculty of Engineering, Damietta University, New Damietta, 34517, Egypt (E-mail: moataz.elzekey@du.edu.eg)

^bDepartment of basic Science, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University, Egypt (E-mail: mahmoud.khalaf@bhit.bu.edu.eg)

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study a class of separated lattice EQ-algebras that may be represented as subalgebras of products of linearly ordered ones. Such algebras are called representable. Namely, we enrich separated lattice EQ-algebras with a unary operation (the so called Baaz delta), fulfilling some additional assumptions. The resulting algebras are called ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras. One of the main results of this paper is to characterize the class of representable ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras. We also supply a number of useful results, leading to this characterization.

Received; 20 Nov. 2019, Revised form; 17 Dec. 2019, Accepted; 17 Dec. 2019, Available online 1 Jan. 2020.

1. Introduction

EQ-algebras were introduced by Novák (2006) [1] and Novák and Baets (2009) [2] as generalization of residuated lattices (see [3]). Unlike the residuated lattices, the basic operation in it is a fuzzy equality while implication is derived from it. Its original motivation comes from the study of higher-order fuzzy logic [4] that was obtained as a generalization of simple type theory in the style of L. Henkin who developed in [5] a very elegant theory (cf. also [6]) in which the basic connective is equality.

EQ-algebras brought an idea to develop (fuzzy) many-valued logics on the basis of fuzzy equality (equivalence) as the principal connective. Accordingly, a formal theory of new different many-valued logics, called EQ-logics, has been recently introduced by M. Dyba and V. Novák [7].

The current investigation of EQ-algebras (see [7-10]) shows that goodness, i.e. each element x is equal to $\mathbf{1}$ in the degree x , is sufficient for the resulting algebra has many reasonable properties. The goodness axiom implies that the algebra is separated (i.e., two elements equal in the degree $\mathbf{1}$ must be identical) but not vice-versa. Therefore, Separateness turned out to be indispensable for any kind of fuzzy equality-based logic.

One of the important algebraic consequences of goodness axiom is axiomatizing the class of representable good EQ-

algebras (expanded by Delta-connective) (see [8, 9]). This is mainly based on the fact that good EQ-algebras give raise to BCK-algebras [11, 12].

In this paper, we continue the study of EQ-algebras. We introduce and study a class of separated (not necessarily good) lattice EQ-algebras that may be represented as subalgebras of products of linearly ordered ones. Such algebras are called representable. Namely, we enrich separated lattice EQ-algebras with a unary operation (the so called Baaz delta), fulfilling some additional assumptions. The resulting algebras are called ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras. One of the main results of this paper is to characterize the class of representable ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras. We show that prelinearity alone characterizes the representable class of ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras. We also supply a number of useful results, leading to this characterization.

This paper is structured as follows: in the next section we overview the basic definitions and properties of EQ-algebras and their special. In Section 4 we introduce and study the class of ℓEQ_{Δ}^S -algebras and we devote Section 5 to summarize the results.

2. EQ-algebras: an overview

Definition 1. ([9]) An algebra $\mathcal{E} = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, \mathbf{1})$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 0)$ is called an EQ-algebra where for all $a, b, c, d \in E$:

- (E1) $(E, \wedge, \mathbf{1})$ is a \wedge -semilattice with top element $\mathbf{1}$. We set $a \leq b$ iff $a \wedge b = a$,
- (E2) $(E, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ is a monoid and \otimes is isotone in both arguments w.r.t. $a \leq b$,
- (E3) $a \sim a = \mathbf{1}$, (reflexivity)
- (E4) $((a \wedge b) \sim c) \otimes (d \sim a) \leq c \sim (d \wedge b)$, (substitution)
- (E5) $(a \sim b) \otimes (c \sim d) \leq (a \sim c) \sim (b \sim d)$, (congruence)
- (E6) $(a \wedge b \wedge c) \sim a \leq (a \wedge b) \sim a$, (monotonicity)
- (E7) $a \otimes b \leq a \sim b$.

The binary operation " \wedge " is called meet (infimum), " \otimes " is called multiplication, and " \sim " is a fuzzy equality. We set, for $a, b \in E$:

$$a \rightarrow b = (a \wedge b) \sim a \tag{1}$$

$$\tilde{a} = a \sim \mathbf{1} \tag{2}$$

The derived operation (1) will be called *implication*. If $\mathbf{0}$ is a bottom element of E , then we define the unary operation \neg on E , for all $a \in E$, by

$$\neg a = a \sim \mathbf{0} \tag{3}$$

Definition 2. ([4, 8]) Let \mathcal{E} be an EQ-algebra. We say that it is:

- (a) *separated* if for all $a, b \in E, a \sim b = \mathbf{1}$ implies $a = b$,
- (b) *good* if for all $a \in E, \tilde{a} = a$,
- (c) *lattice EQ-algebra* (ℓ EQ-algebra) if the underlying \wedge -semilattice is a lattice in which the following substitution axiom holds for all $a, b, c, d \in E$:

$$((a \vee b) \sim c) \otimes (d \sim a) \leq (d \vee b) \sim c \tag{4}$$

- (d) *prelinear* if for all $a, b \in E, \mathbf{1}$ is the unique upper bound in E of the set $\{(a \rightarrow b), (b \rightarrow a)\}$.

Note that every good EQ-algebra is separated, but not vice-versa (see [4]). EQ-algebra has many interesting properties (see [4, 9]). We only mention some of them that will be used later.

Lemma 1. ([9, 13]) Let \mathcal{E} be an EQ-algebra. Then the following properties hold for all $a, b, c \in E$:

- (a) $a \sim b = b \sim a$;
- (b) $(a \sim b) \otimes (b \sim c) \leq (a \sim c)$;
- (c) $b \leq \tilde{b} \leq a \rightarrow b$;
- (d) $a \otimes b \leq a \wedge b \leq a, b$;
- (e) $(a \sim b) \leq a \rightarrow b$ and $a \rightarrow a = \mathbf{1}$;
- (f) If $a \leq b$ then $a \rightarrow b = \mathbf{1}, c \rightarrow a \leq c \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow c \leq a \rightarrow c$;
- (g) $(a \rightarrow b) \leq (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b)$;
- (h) $(a \rightarrow b) \leq (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$;
- (i) $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \leq b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \tilde{c})$;
- (j) $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \tilde{c}^4$;
- (k) If \mathcal{E} is ℓ EQ-algebra, then $(a \rightarrow c) \otimes (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \vee b) \rightarrow c$.

Proposition 1. ([9]) The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) An EQ-algebra \mathcal{E} is separated.
- (b) $a \leq b$ iff $a \rightarrow b = \mathbf{1}$ for all $a, b \in E$.

This means that the implication operation " \rightarrow " in a separated EQ-algebra precisely reflects the ordering " \leq ".

3. ℓ EQ $_{\Delta}^s$ -algebras

Definition 3. A ℓ EQ $_{\Delta}^s$ -algebra is an algebra $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = (E, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \sim, \Delta, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ that is a separated ℓ EQ-algebra with a bottom element $\mathbf{0}$ expanded by a unary operation $\Delta: E \rightarrow E$ fulfilling the following axioms:

- (E Δ 1) $\Delta \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$;
- (E Δ 2) $\Delta a \leq a$;
- (E Δ 3) $\Delta a \leq \Delta \Delta a$;
- (E Δ 4) $\Delta(a \sim b) \leq \Delta a \sim \Delta b$;
- (E Δ 5) $\Delta(a \wedge b) = \Delta a \wedge \Delta b$;
- (E Δ 6) $\Delta(a \vee b) \leq \Delta a \vee \Delta b$;
- (E Δ 7) $\Delta a \vee \neg \Delta a = \mathbf{1}$;
- (E Δ 8) $\Delta(a \sim b) \leq (a \otimes c) \sim (a \otimes c)$;
- (E Δ 9) $\Delta(a \sim b) \leq (c \otimes a) \sim (c \otimes b)$.

Note that the axioms (E Δ 1), (E Δ 2), ..., (E Δ 7) are from [9], and the two inequalities (E Δ 8) and (E Δ 9) are from [10]. They are necessary to assure good behavior of the multiplication with respect to the crisp equality. If we omit " Δ " in (E Δ 8) and (E Δ 9) then the resulting EQ-algebra becomes residuated (see [9]).

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{E}_{Δ} be a ℓ EQ $_{\Delta}^s$ -algebra. For all $a, b, c \in E$, it holds that:

- (a) If $a \leq b$, then $\Delta a \leq \Delta b$;
- (b) $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta b$;
- (c) $\Delta(a \vee b) = \Delta a \vee \Delta b$;
- (d) $\Delta \Delta a = \Delta a$;
- (e) $a \otimes \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq b, \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \otimes a \leq b$;
- (f) $a \otimes \Delta(a \sim b) \leq b, \Delta(a \sim b) \otimes a \leq b$;
- (g) $\Delta(a \sim \mathbf{1}) = \Delta a$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow a) = \Delta a$;
- (h) $\Delta b \leq c \rightarrow (b \otimes c)$ and $\Delta b \leq c \rightarrow (c \otimes b)$;
- (i) $\Delta a = \Delta a \otimes \Delta a$;
- (j) $\Delta a \leq \Delta b \rightarrow \Delta c$ iff $\Delta a \otimes \Delta b \leq \Delta c$ and $\Delta b \otimes \Delta a \leq \Delta c$;
- (k) If \mathcal{E}_{Δ} is prelinear, then $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \vee \Delta(b \rightarrow a) = \mathbf{1}$;
- (l) $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq (a \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c)$, and $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq (c \otimes a) \rightarrow (c \otimes b)$.

Proof. (a): Assume $a \leq b$ ($a \wedge b = a$). Hence, by (E Δ 5), we have

$$\Delta(a \wedge b) = \Delta a \wedge \Delta b = \Delta a; \text{ that is } \Delta a \leq \Delta b.$$

(b): From (EΔ4) and (EΔ5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a \rightarrow b) &= \Delta((a \wedge b) \sim a) \leq \Delta(a \wedge b) \sim \Delta b = (\Delta a \wedge \Delta b) \sim \Delta b \\ &= \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta b. \end{aligned}$$

(c): From item (a) (because $a, b \leq a \vee b$), we can have, $\Delta a, \Delta b \leq \Delta(a \vee b)$. Therefore, $\Delta a \vee \Delta b \leq \Delta(a \vee b)$. Hence, by this and (EΔ6), the result holds.

(d): Direct from (EΔ2) with item (a), we obtain $\Delta\Delta a \leq \Delta a$. Hence, by this and (EΔ3), the result holds.

(e): From (EΔ2), Lemma 1(d) and the order properties of " \rightarrow ", we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a \rightarrow b) &\leq (a \rightarrow b) \leq (a \otimes \Delta(a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b, \\ \neg\Delta(a \rightarrow b) &= \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \leq \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \leq (a \otimes \Delta(a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

(since $\mathbf{0} \leq b$). Thus, by (EΔ7) and Proposition 1,

$$(a \otimes \Delta(a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b = \mathbf{1}; \text{ that is } (a \otimes \Delta(a \rightarrow b)) \leq b.$$

Similarly, $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \otimes a \leq b$.

(f): Directly from item (e) by Lemma 1(e).

(g): By item (d), (EΔ4) and item (f), we get

$$\Delta(a \sim \mathbf{1}) = \Delta\Delta(a \sim \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta\Delta(\mathbf{1} \sim a) \leq \Delta\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta(\Delta\mathbf{1} \sim \Delta a) \leq \Delta a.$$

On the other hand, $\Delta a \leq \Delta(a \sim \mathbf{1})$ by item (a) (since $a \leq (a \sim \mathbf{1})$).

In particular, $\Delta(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow a) = \Delta((\mathbf{1} \wedge a) \sim \mathbf{1}) = \Delta(a \sim \mathbf{1}) = \Delta a$.

(h): From item (g), (EΔ8) and Lemma 1(e), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta b &= \Delta(\mathbf{1} \sim b) \leq (\mathbf{1} \otimes c) \sim (b \otimes c) \leq (\mathbf{1} \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c) \\ &= c \rightarrow (b \otimes c). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\Delta b \leq c \rightarrow (c \otimes b)$.

(i): By item (h), item (d) and order properties of " \rightarrow ", we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta a &= \Delta\Delta a \leq \Delta a \rightarrow (\Delta a \otimes \Delta a) \text{ and} \\ \neg\Delta a &= \Delta a \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \leq \Delta a \rightarrow (\Delta a \otimes \Delta a) \end{aligned}$$

(since $\mathbf{0} \leq (\Delta a \otimes \Delta a)$). Thus, by (EΔ7) and Proposition 1, $\Delta a \rightarrow (\Delta a \otimes \Delta a) = \mathbf{1}$; that is $\Delta a \leq (\Delta a \otimes \Delta a)$. On the other hand, $(\Delta a \otimes \Delta a) \leq \Delta a$ by Lemma 1(d).

(j): Assume $\Delta a \leq \Delta b \rightarrow \Delta c$, then by Lemma 1(d) and the order properties of " \rightarrow ",

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta a &\leq \Delta b \rightarrow \Delta c \leq (\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \rightarrow \Delta c \text{ and} \\ \neg\Delta a &= \Delta a \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \leq \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta c \leq (\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \rightarrow \Delta c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (EΔ7), and Proposition 1, $(\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \rightarrow \Delta c = \mathbf{1}$; that is $(\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \leq \Delta c$. Similarly, $(\Delta b \otimes \Delta a) \leq \Delta c$. Conversely, assume $(\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \leq \Delta c$. Hence, by item (d) and item (h), we obtain

$$\Delta a = \Delta\Delta a \leq \Delta b \rightarrow (\Delta a \otimes \Delta b) \leq \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta c.$$

Similarly, for $(\Delta b \otimes \Delta a) \leq \Delta c$.

(k): By (EΔ1), the prelinearity and item (c), we get

$$\mathbf{1} = \Delta\mathbf{1} = \Delta((a \rightarrow b) \vee (b \rightarrow a)) = \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \vee \Delta(b \rightarrow a).$$

(l): Using (EΔ8) and the order properties of " \rightarrow ", we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a \rightarrow b) &= \Delta((a \wedge b) \sim a) \leq ((a \wedge b) \otimes c) \sim (a \otimes c) \\ &\leq (a \otimes c) \rightarrow ((a \wedge b) \otimes c) \\ &\leq (a \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq (c \otimes a) \rightarrow (c \otimes b)$. ■

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{E}_\Delta = (E, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \sim, \Delta, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ be a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra. A subset $F \subseteq E$ is called a *filter* of \mathcal{E}_Δ if for all $a, b \in E$:

- (a) $\mathbf{1} \in F$.
- (b) if $a, a \rightarrow b \in F$, then $b \in F$.
- (c) if $a \in F$, then $\Delta a \in F$.

Note that a (prime) filter F on a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra $\mathcal{E}_\Delta = (E, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \sim, \Delta, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a (prime) prefilter (in the sense given in [9]) on its separated EQ-algebra $\mathcal{E} = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, \mathbf{1})$ satisfying (c). So all the properties of (prime) prefilters on a separated EQ-algebra (see [8, 9]) are also properties of (prime) filters on a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra, including the following result:

Lemma 3. (see [9]) Let F be a filter of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . For all $a, b \in E$ it holds that:

- (a) If $a \in F$ and $a \leq b$ then $b \in F$;
- (b) If $a, a \sim b \in F$ then $b \in F$;
- (c) If $a, b \in F$ then $a \wedge b \in F$.

Lemma 4. Let F be a filter of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . For all $a, b, c, a', b' \in E$ such that $a \sim b \in F$ and $a' \sim b' \in F$, it holds that

- (a) If $a \rightarrow b \in F$, then $(a \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c) \in F$ and $(c \otimes a) \rightarrow (c \otimes b) \in F$
- (b) If $a, b \in F$ then $a \otimes b \in F$;
- (c) $(a \otimes a') \sim (b \otimes b') \in F$ and $(a' \otimes a) \sim (b' \otimes b) \in F$;
- (d) $(\Delta a \sim \Delta b) \in F$.

Proof. (a): Assume $a \rightarrow b \in F$. Since F is a filter, then $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \in F$. Hence, by Lemma 2(1) and Lemma 3(a), we get $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq (a \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c) \in F$.

Similarly, $(c \otimes a) \rightarrow (c \otimes b) \in F$.

(b): From Lemma 1(c) and Lemma 3(a), it follows that $b \leq \mathbf{1} \rightarrow b \in F$. From item (a), it then follows that

$$(a \otimes \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow (a \otimes b) = a \rightarrow (a \otimes b) \in F.$$

Hence, by Definition 4 of a filter, $a \otimes b \in F$.

(c): By Definition 4, $\Delta(a \sim b)$ and $\Delta(a' \sim b') \in F$. Thus, by (EΔ8) and (EΔ9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a \sim b) \otimes \Delta(a' \sim b') &\leq \\ &\leq ((a \otimes a') \sim (b \otimes a')) \otimes ((b \otimes a') \sim (b \otimes b')) \\ &\leq (a \otimes a') \sim (b \otimes b') \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3(a) and item (b), the result holds. Similarly, $(a' \otimes a) \sim (b' \otimes b) \in F$.

(d): By Definition 4 and Lemma 3(a)

$$\Delta(a \sim b) \in F \text{ implies } \Delta a \sim \Delta b \in F \text{ (since } \Delta(a \sim b) \leq \Delta a \sim \Delta b \text{).} \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 5. Let \mathcal{E}_Δ be a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra. Given a filter $F \subseteq E$, the following relation on \mathcal{E}_Δ is a congruence relation:

$$a \approx_F b \text{ iff } a \sim b \in F \quad (5)$$

Proof. Indeed, axiom (E3), Lemma 1(a) and Lemma 1(b) guarantee that \approx_F is an equivalence relation. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 4, all the operations of \mathcal{E}_Δ are compatible with the relation given by (5); that is

$$a \approx_F b \text{ and } a' \approx_F b' \text{ imply } (a \wedge a') \approx_F (b \wedge b'), (a \vee b') \approx_F (b \vee b'), (a \sim a') \approx_F (b \sim b'), (a \otimes a') \approx_F (b \otimes b'), \text{ and } (\Delta a \approx_F \Delta b).$$

Then, \approx_F is a congruence relation. \blacksquare

Let \mathcal{E}_Δ be a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra. For $a \in E$, we denote its equivalence class with respect to \approx_F by $[a]_F$ and by E/F the quotient set associated with \approx_F . Furthermore, we define the factor algebra

$$\mathcal{E}_\Delta/F = \langle E/F, \wedge_F, \vee_F, \otimes_F, \sim_F, \Delta_F, \mathbf{0}_F, \mathbf{1}_F \rangle.$$

in the standard way as follows:

$E/F = \{[a]_F \mid a \in E\}$, and the binary operations on E/F are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [a]_F \wedge_F [b]_F &= [a \wedge b]_F; \\ [a]_F \vee_F [b]_F &= [a \vee b]_F; \\ [a]_F \sim_F [b]_F &= [a \sim b]_F; \\ [a]_F \otimes_F [b]_F &= [a \otimes b]_F; \\ \Delta_F [a]_F &= [\Delta a]_F. \end{aligned}$$

The top and the bottom elements are $\mathbf{1}_F = [\mathbf{1}]_F = \{b \in E \mid b \sim \mathbf{1} \in F\} = F$, $\mathbf{0}_F = [\mathbf{0}]_F = \mathbf{0}$, respectively.

Also, we can define a binary relation " \leq_F " on E/F as follows:

$$[a]_F \leq_F [b]_F \text{ iff } [a]_F \wedge_F [b]_F = [a]_F \text{ iff } a \wedge b \approx_F a \text{ iff } a \rightarrow b \in F \quad (6)$$

Then, we have the following result. Its proof proceeds in a standard way.

Theorem 1. Let F be a filter of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . The factor algebra $\mathcal{E}_\Delta/F = \langle E/F, \wedge_F, \vee_F, \otimes_F, \sim_F, \Delta_F, \mathbf{0}_F, \mathbf{1}_F \rangle$ is a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra, and the mapping $f: E \rightarrow E/F$ defined by $f(a) = [a]_F$ is a homomorphism of \mathcal{E}_Δ .

For a nonempty subset X of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ , the smallest filter of \mathcal{E}_Δ which contains X , i.e. $\bigcap\{F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) : X \subseteq F\}$ is said to be a filter of \mathcal{E}_Δ generated by X and will be denoted by $\langle X \rangle$. Obviously, if X is a filter then $\langle X \rangle = X$. It is clear that if $X_1 \subseteq X_2$, then $\langle X_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle X_2 \rangle$. If $X = Y \cup \{a\}$, we will write $\langle Y, a \rangle$ for $\langle X \rangle$. The set of non-negative integers will be denoted by ω , for $a, b \in E, n \in \omega$, we define $a \rightarrow^0 b = b, a \rightarrow^{n+1} b = a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow^n b)$. If $a = \mathbf{1}, a \rightarrow^{n+1} b$ is denoted by \tilde{b}^{n+1} .

The following theorem gives a characterization of a filter generated by a set.

Theorem 2. Let X be a nonempty subset of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . Then

$$\langle X \rangle = \{a \in E : \Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots) = \mathbf{1}, \text{ for some } b_i \in X, n \in \omega\}.$$

Proof. Put $M = \{a \in E : \Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots) = \mathbf{1}, \text{ for some } b_i \in X, n \in \omega\}$. Now, we show that M is a filter of \mathcal{E}_Δ . Since all $b_i \in M, b_i \leq \mathbf{1}$, therefore by Lemma 2(a) and (EΔ1) $\Delta b_i \leq \Delta \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ so $\Delta b_i \rightarrow \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$; i.e., $\mathbf{1} \in M$. Now, let $a, a \rightarrow b \in M$, then there exist $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_m \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots) &= \mathbf{1} \text{ and} \\ \Delta b'_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b'_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b'_m \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)) \dots) &= \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 1(g), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow b &\leq (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \\ &\leq (\Delta b_{n-1} \rightarrow (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a)) \rightarrow (\Delta b_{n-1} \rightarrow (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b)). \end{aligned}$$

By continuing this way, we get that

$$a \rightarrow b \leq (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots)) \rightarrow (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots)).$$

Then, by order properties of " \rightarrow ", Lemma 2(a) and (EΔ1), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow b &\leq \mathbf{1} \rightarrow (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots)) \\ &\leq \Delta b_0 \rightarrow (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots)), \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0 \in M$. Hence,

$$\Delta b'_m \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \leq \Delta b'_m \rightarrow \Delta b_0 \rightarrow ((\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots))).$$

We can obtain by continuing

$$\Delta b'_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b'_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b'_m \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)) \dots) \leq \Delta b'_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b'_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b'_m \rightarrow (\Delta b_0 \rightarrow (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots))) \dots).$$

Then,

$$\Delta b'_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b'_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b'_m \rightarrow (\Delta b_0 \rightarrow (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow b) \dots))) \dots) = \mathbf{1}.$$

And so $b \in M$. Finally, we will prove that $\Delta a \in M$ whenever $a \in M$. Assume that $a \in M$, then

$$(\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b \rightarrow a) \dots)) = \mathbf{1} \text{ for some } b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in X.$$

By (EΔ1), Lemma 2(b), Lemma 2(d), and the order properties of " \rightarrow ",

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} &= \Delta \mathbf{1} = \Delta(\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots)) \\ &\leq (\Delta \Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta \Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta \Delta b_n \rightarrow \Delta a) \dots)) \\ &= (\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b \rightarrow \Delta a) \dots)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Delta a \in M$. Therefore, M is a filter of \mathcal{E}_Δ . Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)$, $X \subseteq F$ and $a \in M$, then

$$(\Delta b_1 \rightarrow (\Delta b_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta b_n \rightarrow a) \dots)) = \mathbf{1}, \text{ for some } b_i \in X \text{ and } n \in \omega.$$

Since $\mathbf{1}, \Delta b_1, \Delta b_2, \dots, \Delta b_n \in F$, we imply $a \in F$. Thus, $M \subseteq F$. Therefore, M is the smallest filter of \mathcal{E}_Δ containing X . i.e. $M = \langle X \rangle$. ■

Theorem 3. Let F be a filter of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . Then

$$\langle F, a \rangle = \{b \in E : \Delta a \rightarrow b \in F\}$$

Proof. Let $b \in \langle F, a \rangle$, then by Theorem 2 and Lemma 1(i) for some $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in F, n, k_1, k_2 \in \omega$

$$\Delta f_1 \rightarrow (\Delta f_2 \rightarrow \dots (\Delta f_n \rightarrow (\Delta a \rightarrow^{k_1} \tilde{b}^{k_2})) \dots) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Since F is a filter and $\mathbf{1} \in F$, then $\Delta a \rightarrow^{k_1} \tilde{b}^{k_2} \in F$. Hence, by Lemma 1(i) and Lemma 2(i) we get,

$$\Delta a \rightarrow^{k_1} \tilde{b}^{k_2} \leq (\Delta a \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta a) \rightarrow \tilde{b}^{k_3} = \Delta a \rightarrow \tilde{b}^{k_3} \in F$$

for some $k_3 \in \omega$. Since F is a filter, then by Lemma 2(b), (d) and (g) and Lemma 3(a), we obtain

$$\Delta(\Delta a \rightarrow \tilde{b}^{k_3}) \leq \Delta \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta \tilde{b}^{k_3} = \Delta a \rightarrow \Delta b \leq \Delta a \rightarrow b \in F$$

Thus, $b \in \{b \in E : \Delta f \rightarrow (\Delta a \rightarrow b) = \mathbf{1} \text{ for some } f \in F\}$.

Conversely, since $\langle F, a \rangle$ is a filter, and $a \in \langle F, a \rangle$, then $\Delta a \in \langle F, a \rangle$. If $\Delta a \rightarrow b \in F$, then $\Delta a \rightarrow b \in \langle F, a \rangle$, and hence, $b \in \langle F, a \rangle$. ■

By the following theorem, we determine filters generated by join of two elements.

Theorem 4. Let F be a filter of a ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ , and $a, b \in E$. Then

$$a \vee b \in F \text{ implies } \langle F, a \rangle \cap \langle F, b \rangle = F;$$

Proof. It is clear that $F \subseteq \langle F, a \rangle \cap \langle F, b \rangle$. Let $a \vee b \in F$, then by Definition 4 and Lemma 2(c), $\Delta(a \vee b) = \Delta a \vee \Delta b \in F$. Now let $c \in \langle F, a \rangle \cap \langle F, b \rangle$, then by Theorem 3, we get $\Delta a \rightarrow c \in F$ and $\Delta b \rightarrow c \in F$ for some $f \in F$. Hence, by Lemma 4(b), we have $(\Delta a \rightarrow c) \otimes (\Delta b \rightarrow c) \in F$. By this, Lemma 1(k) and Lemma 3(a), we have

$$(\Delta a \rightarrow c) \otimes (\Delta b \rightarrow c) \leq (\Delta a \vee \Delta b) \rightarrow c \in F.$$

Therefore, $c \in F$. Thus, $\langle F, a \rangle \cap \langle F, b \rangle \subseteq F$. ■

We extend to ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra the following result, proved by El-Zekey in [8]. The proof is completely the same as El-Zekey's.

Proposition 2. Let F be a filter of a prelinear ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra \mathcal{E}_Δ . Then F is prime iff E/F is a chain, i.e., is linearly (totally) ordered by \leq_F .

Theorem 5. Let \mathcal{E}_Δ be a prelinear ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra and let $a \in E, a \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then, there is a prime filter F on \mathcal{E}_Δ not containing a .

Proof. There are filters not containing a , e.g. $F_0 = \{\mathbf{1}\}$. We shall show that if F is any filter not containing a and $x, y \in E$ such that $(x \rightarrow y) \notin F$ and $(y \rightarrow x) \notin F$, then there is a filter $F' \supseteq F$ not containing a but containing either $(x \rightarrow y) \in F'$ or $(y \rightarrow x) \in F'$. Note that the least filter F' containing F as a subset and $u \in E$ as an element is $F' = \{v \in E : \Delta u \rightarrow v \in F\}$. Indeed, F' is obviously a filter by Theorem 3 equivalently $F' = \langle F, u \rangle$.

Thus, assume $(x \rightarrow y) \notin F, (y \rightarrow x) \notin F$ and let F_1, F_2 be the smallest filters containing F as a subset and $(x \rightarrow y), (y \rightarrow x)$ respectively as an element. We claim that $a \notin F_1$ or $a \notin F_2$. Assume the contrary; then,

$$\Delta(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow a \in F \text{ and } \Delta(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow a \in F.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4(b), we have

$$(\Delta(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow a) \otimes (\Delta(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow a) \in F.$$

By this, Lemma 1(k) and Lemma 3(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow a) \otimes (\Delta(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow a) &\leq (\Delta(x \rightarrow y) \vee \Delta(y \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow a \\ &= \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a \in F$ (since $\mathbf{1} \in F$) a contradiction. Hence $a \notin F_1$ or $a \notin F_2$.

Now, if \mathcal{E}_Δ is countable (which will be our case in the proof of completeness), then we may arrange all pairs (x, y) from E^2 into a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n) | n \text{ natural}\}$, put $F_0 = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and having constructed F_n such that $p \notin F_n$ we take $F_{n+1} \supseteq F_n$ such that $p \notin F$ according to our construction; if possible we take F_{n+1} such that $(x_n \rightarrow y_n) \in F_{n+1}$, if not, we take that with $(y_n \rightarrow x_n) \in F_{n+1}$. Our desired prime filter is the union

$$\bigcup_n F_n$$

If \mathcal{E}_Δ is uncountable, then one has to use the axiom of choice and work similarly with a transfinite sequence of filters.

Theorem 6. (Representation theorem). Let \mathcal{E}_Δ be a prelinear ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra. Then, each \mathcal{E}_Δ is subdirectly embeddable into a product of linearly ordered ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebras; i.e., \mathcal{E}_Δ is representable.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all prime filters of \mathcal{E}_Δ . For $F \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus, by Theorem 1, the natural homomorphism $h: \mathcal{E}_\Delta \rightarrow \prod_{F \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{E}_\Delta / \approx_F$ defined by $h(a) = \langle [a]_F \rangle_{F \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a subdirect embedding of \mathcal{E}_Δ into a direct product of $\{\mathcal{E}_\Delta / \approx_F : F \in \mathcal{P}\}$. It remains to show that it is one-one. If $a, b \in F$ and $a \neq b$ then $a \not\leq b$ or $b \not\leq a$. Without loss of generality, then $(a \rightarrow b) \neq \mathbf{1}$ in E . By Theorem 5, let F be a prime filter on E not containing $(a \rightarrow b)$; then in \mathcal{E}_Δ / F , $[a]_F \not\leq [b]_F$, hence $[a]_F \neq [b]_F$ and therefore $h(a) \neq h(b)$. Using Proposition 2 and Theorem 2, $\mathcal{E}_\Delta / \approx_F$ is linearly ordered ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebra for each $F \in \mathcal{P}$, which completes the proofs. ■

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced and studied a class of separated (not necessarily good) lattice EQ-algebras that may be represented as subalgebras of products of linearly ordered ones. Such algebras are called representable. Namely, we enriched separated lattice EQ-algebras with a unary operation (the so called Baaz delta), fulfilling some additional assumptions. The resulting algebras are called

ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebras. One of the main results of this paper is to characterize the class of representable ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebras. We showed that prelinearity alone characterizes the representable class of ℓEQ_Δ^s -algebras. We also supplied a number of useful results, leading to this characterization.

References

- [1] V. Novák, EQ-algebras: primary concepts and properties, Proc. Czech-Japan Seminar, Ninth Meeting. Kitakyushu& Nagasaki, Citeseer, 2006, pp. 219-223.
- [2] V. Novak, B. De Baets, EQ-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 160 (2009) 2956-2978.
- [3] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of fuzzy logic, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [4] V. Novak, EQ-algebra-based fuzzy type theory and its extensions, Logic J. IGPL Logic Journal of the IGPL 19 (2011) 512-542.
- [5] J.R. Guard, Henkin L. A theory of propositional types. Fundamenta mathematicae, vol. 52 (1963), pp. 323-344. (See Errata, Fundamenta mathematicae, vol. 53 no. 1 (1963), p. 119.), J. symb. log. The Journal of Symbolic Logic 30 (1965) 385.
- [6] P.B. Andrews, An introduction to mathematical logic and type theory : to truth through proof, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [7] M. Dyba, V. Novák, EQ-logics: Non-commutative fuzzy logics based on fuzzy equality, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 172 (2011) 13-32.
- [8] M. El-Zekey, Representable good EQ-algebras, Soft Computing 14 (2010) 1011-1023.
- [9] M. El-Zekey, V. Novak, R. Mesiar, On good EQ-algebras, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 178 (2011) 1-23.
- [10] M. Dyba, M. El-Zekey, V. Novák, Non-commutative first-order EQ-logics, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 292 (2016) 215-241.
- [11] I. Fleischer, Subdirect products of totally ordered BCK-algebras, Journal of Algebra 111 (1987) 384-387.
- [12] H. Ono, Y. Komori, Logics Without the Contraction Rule, The Journal of Symbolic Logic 50 (1985) 169-201.
- [13] N. Mohtashamnia, L. Torkzadeh, The lattice of prefilters of an EQ-algebra, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 311 (2017) 86-98.